

## Addendum: Estimation of Maximal Reliability

The concern of this section is to present a readily applicable procedure for estimation of maximal reliability for a linear combination of congeneric measures, denoted  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_k$  ( $k > 1$ ; in the case  $k = 2$ , add identifying restrictions)

$$Y = w_1 Y_1 + w_2 Y_2 + \dots + w_k Y_k,$$

and of their optimal weights  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k$ . (For these measures, the well-known classical test theory related decomposition  $Y_i = b_i \eta + E_i$  holds, where  $b_i$  are the indicator loadings on the common true score  $\eta$  and  $E_i$  are the error scores with variances  $\theta_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ ). The following method is described in detail in Raykov (in press; see above in this document for information how to download relevant material related to that paper).

As has been well documented in the psychometric literature (e.g., Raykov, in press and references therein), the maximal reliability for a scale of congeneric components is

$$\rho_{max} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\rho_i}{1 - \rho_i}}{1 + \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\rho_i}{1 - \rho_i}},$$

where  $\rho_i$  is the reliability coefficient of  $Y_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, k$ ; e.g., Li, 1997). This highest reliability is accomplished with the choice of

$$w_i = \frac{\rho_i}{b_i(1 - \rho_i)}$$

( $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ) as individual component weights (e.g., Conger, 1980). As an alternative to the possibly too laborious conventional methods utilizing eigenvalue determination

and related activities, one can use LISREL 8.54 for Windows with the following nonlinear constraints for the pertinent factor loadings.

$$w_i = b_i \theta_{ii}^{-1}$$

as the optimal component weights ( $i = 1, \dots, k$ ; see Raykov, in press, for details on how to obtain this expression).

With this approach, an estimate of maximal reliability  $\rho_{max}$  is obtained by estimating the correlation  $Corr(\eta, Y)$  between the underlying latent construct  $\eta$  and the optimal linear combination  $Y$  ensured by choosing the individual weights  $w_i$  as in the last equation ( $i = 1, \dots, k$ ). This method also yields automatically standard error estimates for these weights. Further details are provided in Raykov (in press).

The LISREL syntax for accomplishing maximal reliability estimation (and standard error estimation for the optimal weights) is as follows.

```
LISREL INPUT FILE FOR MAXIMAL RELIABILITY AND OPTIMAL WEIGHT
ESTIMATION. THIS FILE REPRESENTS THE SOURCE CODE USED FOR THE
EXAMPLE IN THE ILLUSTRATION SECTION (WITH  $k = 5$  CONGENERIC
MEASURES), AND SHOULD BE ACCORDINGLY MODIFIED WITH A
DIFFERENT NUMBER OF CONGENERIC COMPONENTS.
SEE RAYKOV (IN PRESS) FOR A NUMERICAL EXAMPLE
DA NI=5 NO=<SAMPLE SIZE>
CM=<FILE NAME>
MO NY=5 NE=7 PS=SY,FI BE=FU,FI TE=ZE ! ERROR VARIANCES RELEGATED
LE ! TO PS(I,I), I = 1, ..., 5 (SEE BELOW)
T1 T2 T3 T4 T5 ETA COMPOSIT ! COMPOSIT=AUXILIARY VARIABLE (L COM)
VA 1 PS 6 6 ! FIX LATENT SCALE FOR IDENTIFIABILITY
FR BE 1 6 BE 2 6 BE 3 6 BE 4 6 BE 5 6 ! FREE INDICATOR LOADINGS.
FR BE 7 1 BE 7 2 BE 7 3 BE 7 4 BE 7 5 ! PREPARE FOR CONSTRAINTS BELOW.
```

FR PS 1 1 PS 2 2 PS 3 3 PS 4 4 PS 5 5 ! MEASUREMENT ERROR VARIANCES  
 VA 1 LY 1 1 LY 2 2 LY 3 3 LY 4 4 LY 5 5 ! SET  $Y_i = \eta_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, 5$ )  
 CO BE(7,1)=BE(1,6)\*PS(1,1)\*\*-1 ! THIS AND NEXT 4 LINES REPRESENT  
 CO BE(7,2)=BE(2,6)\*PS(2,2)\*\*-1 ! THE OPTIMAL WEIGHT CONSTRAINTS IN  
 CO BE(7,3)=BE(3,6)\*PS(3,3)\*\*-1 ! EQUATIONS (5), AND ENSURE THAT  
 CO BE(7,4)=BE(4,6)\*PS(4,4)\*\*-1 ! 'COMPOSIT' = LINEAR COMBINATION OF Y'S  
 CO BE(7,5)=BE(5,6)\*PS(5,5)\*\*-1 ! WITH MAXIMAL RELIABILITY  
 ST .5 ALL ! THESE START VALUES MAY OR MAY NOT BE NEEDED, OR  
 OU NS ! OTHER START VALUES MAY BE NECESSARY, WITH OTHER DATA

### References

- Conger, A. (1980). Maximally reliable composites for unidimensional measures.  
Educational and Psychological Measurement, 40, 367-375.
- Li, H. (1997). A unifying expression for the maximal reliability of a linear composite.  
Psychometrika, 62, 245-249.
- Raykov, T. (in press). Estimation of maximal reliability: A note on a covariance structure  
 modeling approach. British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology.