

Evaluating random slopes for model with AR(1) model at level-1

HMLM and HMLM2 do not produce final tables for the variance components and chi-square statistics for individual components as is the case with HLM2 and HLM3. Consider the model given in the HLM manual:

Model 1:

Level-1 Model

$$Y = \text{IND1} * Y1 + \text{IND2} * Y2 + \text{IND3} * Y3 + \text{IND4} * Y4 + \text{IND5} * Y5$$
$$Y^* = B0 + B1 (\text{AGE13}) + B2 * (\text{AGE13S}) + R$$

Level-2 Model

$$B00 = G00 + U0$$
$$B1 = G10$$
$$B2 = G20$$

Suppose that it was possible to estimate the model

Model 2:

Level-1 Model

$$Y = \text{IND1} * Y1 + \text{IND2} * Y2 + \text{IND3} * Y3 + \text{IND4} * Y4 + \text{IND5} * Y5$$
$$Y^* = B0 + B1 (\text{AGE13}) + B2 * (\text{AGE13S}) + R$$

Level-2 Model

$$B00 = G00 + U0$$
$$B1 = G10 + U1$$
$$B2 = G20$$

To evaluate the random slope in the second model, fit both models as shown above: that is, models with and without the random slope of interest. The difference between the two deviance statistics obtained for the respective models has a χ^2 distribution with degrees of freedom equal to the difference in the number of parameters estimated. In this case, the Tau-matrix for model 2 has three non-duplicated elements

$$\text{var}(u0)$$
$$\text{cov}(u1, u0) \quad \text{var}(u1)$$

compared to the Tau for model 1 with only one element, i.e. $\text{var}(u0)$. The difference in the number of parameters estimated is thus equal to 2. Note that by using this approach, the researcher is essentially testing that all variance-covariance components associated with the level-1 predictor are making a significant contribution to the explanation of variation in the outcome.